

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,668	10/27/2003	Takeshi Kimura	2271/71336	4231	
7590 09/27/2005			EXAMINER		
Ivan S. Kavrukov, Esq.			PATIDAR, JAY M		
Cooper & Dunl	nam LLP				
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036			2862		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/694,668		KIMURA, TAKESH	I	
Office Action Summar	y	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Jay M. Patidar		2862		
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appe	ars on the cover s	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DAT visions of 37 CFR 1.136 is communication. num statutory period will or reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing d	TE OF THIS CON (a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SI ause the application to b	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be tim X (6) MONTHS from DECOME ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor C (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(section) 2a) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in conductored in accordance with the property of the prope	2b)⊠ This a lition for allowand	action is non-final ce except for form	nal matters, pro		merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-9 and 13-15 is/ar 7) Claim(s) 3-5 and 10-12 is/are of 8) Claim(s) are subject to re Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 Octo Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclaim the specification is objected to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to 11.	re rejected. bjected to. estriction and/or of by the Examiner. ber 2003 is/are: objection to the dr	election requirem a) accepted or rawing(s) be held in on is required if the	nent. r b)⊠ objected n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a company of the price of the price of the price of the company of	of: iority documents iority documents pies of the priorit national Bureau	have been received have been received to documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a	/ed. /ed in Applicati /e been receive a)).	on No ed in this National s	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03		5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary aper No(s)/Mail Da lotice of Informal P other:)-152)	

Art Unit: 2862

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the MI electrode, power supply electrode and switch unit disposed linearly as set forth in claim 2 and electric power provided to switch and processor through different power supply wirings as set forth in claim 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must

Art Unit: 2862

be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "MI chip" at line 3; the switch unit is not clearly defined; is switch unit switch circuit or does it contain more than a switch circuit?

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is indefinite since it is vague as to how such an apparatus is being built.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,668

Art Unit: 2862

Claim 15 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The "building an electronic apparatus" steps are missing.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,6-9,13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honkura et al. (6,831,457).

As to claims 1-2,8-9, Honkura discloses a magnetic impedance sensor with an IC chip 70, with MI element 10, power supply electrode 52 and a switching circuit 6 controlled by a pulse signal from signal generator 7. Honkura does not explicitly disclose the location of the first power supply electrode being disposed in a neighborhood of the second side face. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate electrode anywhere with respect to the MI element, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re

Art Unit: 2862

Japikse, 86 USP 70. It is common knowledge in the art to connect IC with other element of the device with electrodes (note col. 4, line 13).

As to claims 6,7,13-14, Honkura fails to show two different power supply wirings, however, it is common practice in the related art to use separate power supply to provide overload current protection.

- 6. Claim 15 is not examined on merit since the scope of the claim is vague from the language of the claim as explained above.
- 7. Claims 3-5,10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show an MI sensor with a second power supply electrode to which the signal processing unit connected and the second electrode disposed in a neighborhood of the second side face.

Art Unit: 2862

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

September 21, 2005